PERSON-CENTERED ADVANCED ILLNESS CARE ACROSS THE CONTINUUM
AMERICANS INCREASINGLY SUFFER FROM ADVANCED ILLNESS. While there is much talk of “patient-centered care”, the reality is that patients are not at the center of the current health care system. New care delivery and payment models promoted by healthcare reform focus on better care coordination and integration, but few include what most people with advanced illness say are important: their families/caregivers, faith and other communities, and social services. This paper proposes a pathway, the C-TAC Advanced Care Model (ACM), as a framework for stakeholders (including health care providers, plans, and community-based organizations) as they wade through the plethora of new practices, policy options, and payment models for addressing advanced illness in a more holistic and patient-centered way. It will also review experience with Community Action Models that, ultimately combined with the ACM, could extend the care support network well beyond the traditional healthcare system.

WHY THE TIME IS RIGHT
By 2030, 9 million Americans will be over the age of 85 and many will experience substantial disability and chronic conditions associated with older age. Many will face advanced illness, which C-TAC defines as “when one or more conditions become serious enough that general health and functioning begin to decline, treatment may no longer lead to preferred outcomes, and care oriented toward comfort may take precedence over attempts to cure—a process that extends to the end of life.”

C-TAC’s goal is that all Americans living with advanced illness receive the care that honors their dignity and respects their values and preferences. The challenges to delivering such care are well documented in the Institute of Medicine’s Dying in America Report (2014). These challenges include that the majority of Americans do not know or understand what palliative care is; disparities in care access and pain management continue to grow among minority populations; inappropriate utilization is prevalent; Achieving C-TAC’s goal will take significant changes in public and professional education, care delivery processes, and public policy.

The good news is that change is underway: evidence-based care models (Sutter Health’s Advanced Illness Management (AIM), Aetna’s Compassionate Care, Home-Based Primary Care through the Medicare Demonstration, Independence at Home, and Program of All-inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE), among others) have demonstrated greater patient satisfaction, higher quality, and lower costs than the status quo; new Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) goals to shift to value-based payment models and bipartisan policy options to advance these practices are emerging; and people living with advanced illness are speaking out as advocates for change.

ACM—COMMON ELEMENTS
People suffering from advanced illness often fall through cracks between current programs and provider groups. And while there is an intensive focus on moving care services out of traditional care settings such as hospitals, there is little infrastructure among community-based institutions or informal caregivers to facilitate this handoff of patient care. In many cases, family caregivers now perform fairly medically complex tasks, with little to no formal training. Like patients under disease management, people with advanced illness have multiple chronic conditions, but their decline in health and function is more pronounced and, in many cases irreversible. Many of these people are not yet eligible for hospice, and those who do qualify may be reluctant to enroll or their providers may be unwilling to refer them.
The ACM Framework is built on successful efforts like Sutter Health’s Advanced Illness Management (AIM), Gundersen Health System’s Respecting Choices, Aetna’s Compassionate Care, and Home-Based Primary Care/Independence at Home. Common elements across these programs include: care coordination, systematic advance care planning, palliation of symptoms and person-centeredness. The evidence-base for these ACM elements is derived from the established literature on complex patient care, chronic disease management, palliative and hospice care, and care transitions. In its ideal application, the ACM is delivered through a “team of teams” approach, encompassing specially trained, interdisciplinary teams of physicians, nurses and social workers that operate in hospitals, outpatient practices, homes and the community. These teams connect with patients, families and each other in real time through Electronic Medical Records (EMRs) and telephonic management.

MEASURES OF SUCCESS
The ACM’s goal is to improve overall care from the identification of advanced illness to the end of life, which begins with enhanced patient identification and a robust referral system. Care outcomes include increases in measures of patient engagement, reflecting care more consistent with individual preferences and values, and decreases in cost associated with fewer Emergency Department visits, hospital days, Intensive Care Unit (ICU) days and avoidable hospital readmissions. Measures of care coordination and communication incorporate additional important aspects of advance illness care. (See Exhibit 1 Graphic on Page 5)

COMMUNITY ACTION MODELS
As systems begin to shift towards population health approaches, it will become increasingly important to integrate non-medical social services and supporting resources with medical care in order to develop positive health outcomes and patient experiences. The recent CMS Accountable Health Communities announcement, focused on aligning clinical and community partners to address social needs, is a welcome step in the right direction.

Still, for substantive impact, these collaborations must move at “the speed of trust”; that is, participants should expect and plan for partnerships that evolve over time and emphasize mutual respect for cultures, spirituality, and traditions, and available community resources. The first step in building these relationships is identifying the right community resources, which can include: Adult day services and adult night care; Aging Services Network, including Area Agencies on Aging (AAA); Community Health Centers (CHCs) and senior centers; Health plans that serve advanced illness or special needs populations; Meals on Wheel; Medicaid Home and Community Based Services networks; Program of All-inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE); and faith communities, especially those with existing health and social services (e.g., home visits to the elderly).

Programs such as the Alameda County Care Alliance pilot, the Congregational Health Network, and the Respecting Choices model among others have demonstrated the effectiveness of strong partnerships working with the faith community:

- Congregational Health Network: Founded in 2006, the Congregational Health Network or “Memphis model” is a partnership between Methodist Le Bonheur HealthCare and 500+ places of worship, and is designed to support transition from hospital to home for vulnerable populations in the Memphis community. As a result of the model, enrollees showed lower mortality, utilization, costs, and charges including reduction in
readmissions rates and time, increased referrals to hospice and home health, and higher patient satisfaction. The program’s success to-date has depended on sharing of medical information through technology, partnering with already established community groups, development of a strong advisory committee, honoring the wisdom and intelligence of liaisons embedded in churches, and building trust and shared commitment.

• **Alameda County Care Alliance (ACCA):** Founded in 2013, the ACCA is a faith community-led and -designed program to (1) extend and strengthen the health care delivery system, (2) improve the outcomes for people with advanced illness, (3) reduce caregiver burden, and (4) strengthen the community support infrastructure to meet the demand for advanced illness care. These goals are achieved through training: navigators selected from the community who embed in churches; clergy who provide decision-making support for ill parishioners; and a network of volunteers who provide social and spiritual support to family caregivers and patients.

• **Respecting Choices:** Originally developed at Gundersen Health System in La Crosse WI, the program uses established principles of learning theory to engage individuals with serious illness and improve their and their surrogates’ understanding of the person’s values and goals. This serves as a bridge between community-based organizations, such as places of worship, and health systems by ensuring a standardized approach to advance care planning through facilitators who are known to the community and embedded in churches and other community-based settings. As a result of the intervention, surrogates were better able to understand and know the values and goals of their loved one, regardless of age, cultural, or diverse backgrounds, and care which was provided to patients matched with their stated goals and preferences.

Such programs suggest that health system-community relationships are synergistic—and not simply additive—when compared with similar, but unilateral efforts by providers or communities. The programs also suggest that there are often latent, underused resources that are not well linked between communities and health care providers, thus raising the potential for significant improvement when these are linked systematically. **Indeed, a guiding C-TAC hypothesis is that systematic linkage between community and health systems would yield better access to care, better outcomes, including quality of care, greater patient and family satisfaction, and—as a result of greater care coordination—likely lower costs of care for both individuals and commercial and public payers.** However, this hypothesis has not been tested systematically or widely enough to allow policy and regulatory changes that are based on convincing data—rather than assumptions or results from isolated programs. This will yield valuable input to national research priorities and the National Quality Strategy.

C-TAC has developed a Community Action initiative of approximately 60 church and community members from across the U.S., some of whom have implemented similar programs in other cities. The next steps are to summarize the findings from the various pilots and then explore how to integrate this model with the ACM.

**Moving from Concept to Action: Implementation of the ACM**
The ACM can be implemented in a wide variety of health care settings. The effort may be led by an integrated health system, a hospital system, health plan, physician group, or home-based provider, e.g., home health or hospice. In non-integrated settings the model could be implemented and operated through aligned partnerships wherein each entity owns a core component of the delivery model. In an Accountable Care Organization (ACO) structure, the health plan could furnish administrative support and telephonic
case management while a physician group provides office- and clinic-based care, a home health agency provides home-based palliative care and a hospital furnishes inpatient palliative care. Exhibit II is a schematic outlining the potential roles for individuals and organizations in the ACM. (See Exhibit 2 Graphic on Page 6)

C-TAC is working with innovative health systems and plans to test the ACM and provide further evidence to support policy, advocacy and spread of evidence-based person-centered care programs across the country. Findings will be shared at the 2016 National Summit (September 20-21).

Policy Options to Support Spread of the Advanced Care Model

Policy developments such as the proposed Care Planning Act of 2015 on the legislative side and the reimbursement for voluntary advance care through the CMS CY 2016 physician fee schedule on the regulatory side, have demonstrated renewed, attention on advanced illness care. In January 2015, Medicare—for the first time in the program's history - set clear goals for moving providers away from fee-for-service (FFS) payments toward value-based or alternative payment models (APMs). These goals are not only changing the Medicare program, but they act as a catalyst for continued innovation by commercial health plans and providers. Within this context of shifts in the political landscape, the chart below outlines key features of the ACM, policy options to support the model, and opportunities to implement these policies. (See Exhibit 3 Graphic on Page 7)
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The Coalition to Transform Advanced Care (C-TAC) is a national non-profit, non-partisan alliance of patient and consumer advocacy groups, health care professional and providers, private sector stakeholders, faith-based organizations, and health care payers committed to the vision that all Americans with advanced illness, especially the sickest and most vulnerable, will receive comprehensive, high quality, person-and-family-centered care that is consistent with their goals and values and honors their dignity. For more information about C-TAC, visit TheCTAC.org
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EXHIBIT I: THE ACM SUMMARY

**POPULATION DEFINITION**
- Describe the population of people with chronic conditions, declining function and poor prospects for full recovery.
- Design a reliable and proactive identification process that operates through referrals and/or by predictive modeling using administrative-level claims and clinical data.
- Select and enroll patients that have a high probability of benefiting from intervention, i.e. those with advanced illness.
- Formulate discharge criteria to ensure continuity of care.

**INTERVENTION PRINCIPLES**
- **Serve “people” before “patients.”** Many people with advanced illness want to avoid being patients; i.e., want to live their lives to the fullest extent possible and avoid the dependent image of “patient”. Define value, business model and metrics accordingly.
- **Personal goals drive clinical goals.** Shift engagement process to ensure personal relationships lead clinical relationships. To aid personal orientation, develop staff competencies in communication (e.g. health literacy) and engagement (e.g. conflict resolution and motivational interviewing). Care planning should first focus on the goals and values of the person with advanced illness rather than a myopic focus on the options of treatment of the person’s disease. It is only with this approach that truly informed consent of the person can be obtained.
- **Focus on personal preference** defined as free informed choice by the person who has been educated about all available options for care.

**CORE OPERATIONAL ELEMENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Care Management</th>
<th>Advance Care Planning</th>
<th>Treatment and Palliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Coordinate care across all clinical settings, over time as condition progresses, via communication in real time</td>
<td>• Promote advance care planning through continuing conversations over time, at ill person’s pace, in safety and comfort of home</td>
<td>• Develop individualized care plan driven by personal preference and clinical/psychosocial/spiritual needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Move focus of care from hospital to home/community</td>
<td>• Ensure that preferences for care are communicated, documented, available and followed by clinicians at all points of care</td>
<td>• Provide customized blend of disease-modifying treatment + palliative care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide care management through interdisciplinary teams led by an engaged physician</td>
<td></td>
<td>• “Tune” treatment to preferred level of symptom control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Implement collaborative care coordination: engage individual, family, caregivers, physicians and other clinicians, other care managers, and community partners e.g. public agencies, churches, and community navigators</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Alter care plan as preferences evolve through illness progression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ENVIRONMENT:**
Take advantage of existing or developing operational and financial innovation. Collaborate when possible with clinical integration networks (CINs), post-acute networks, ambulatory and home-based palliative care, complex case management, patient-centered medical homes, and collaborative networks that coordinate healthcare, public health and social services.

**MESSAGING AND COMMUNICATION:**
Prioritize active, positive messaging and communication, e.g. “advanced care”, that implies active, value-driven engagement with clinicians and the public.

**PAYMENT MODEL:**
Align incentives and provide a bridge from fee-for-service toward risk-based, performance-based and value-based reimbursement consistent with broader payment reform efforts.

**EVALUATION**
- Standardize process and outcome metrics: personal experience of care, clinical outcomes and cost.
- Implement measures that help guide implementation to help improve effectiveness of interventions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF ORGANIZATION/COMPONENT</th>
<th>CORE RESPONSIBILITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Ill Person and Family                  | • Expect clinicians to provide appropriate information to make medical decisions  
• Be open to engaging in shared decision making process  
• Demand that their goals and values drive their medical cares  
• Expect that their loved ones are included as key members of the care team and process |
| Health System Overall                  | • Program should be managed at the health system level to promote maximal coordination among hospitals, providers, extended care facilities, and home-based care providers  
• Maximal use should be made of home-based care providers and/or embedded care managers, along with associated care management infrastructure |
| Hospital                               | • Build out home visit and telephonic care management capabilities  
• Partner with community-based providers and home-based care providers (e.g. home health and hospice)                                                                                                   |
| Medical Group                          | • Build out home visit and telephonic care management capabilities  
• Health Plan may provide case management services for Medical Group  
• Partner with hospitals to provide inpatient care management  
• Partner with home-based providers (e.g. home health and hospice)                                                                                                             |
| Home Health or Hospice                 | • Partner with community-based providers  
• Build out telephonic care management capability and expand on its home visit capabilities  
• Partner with hospitals to provide inpatient care management  
• Create safeguards against home health or hospice referral inducement                                                                                                               |
| Health Plan or Public Payer            | • Provide support for program  
• Provide case managers to members and to medical groups where desired and appropriate  
• Approaches to aligning incentives to complement the clinical/care coordination model  
• Data capabilities/Informatics                                                                                                                                                |
| Community-Based Organizations           | • Serve as trusted communication link and advisors between underserved patient populations and  
• Provide decision-making support and resources  
• Coordinate social services  
• Partner with home-based providers (e.g. home health and hospice)                                                                                                               |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CARE MODEL FEATURE</th>
<th>SUPPORTIVE PUBLIC POLICY</th>
<th>POLITICAL OPPORTUNITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Prioritize the person's voice through advance care planning | • Empower patients and increase awareness of the importance of advance care planning  
• Support portability of advance care planning documents across time and setting. | • Reauthorizations such as the Older Americans Act (OAA) that support public awareness  
• Medicare & You Handbook to engage Medicare beneficiaries  
• Legislative vehicles such as the Advance Planning & Compassionate Care Act that ensure validity of advance care planning  
• Legislative vehicles such as the Personalize Your Care Act that support continuous advance care planning discussions over time |
| Sustainable payment systems supporting population management and risk-bearing models | • Develop and gather consensus around comprehensive quality metrics  
• Build on existing federal and state demonstrations and programs to replicate and scale effective advanced illness care programs | • Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act (MACRA)  
  ◦ Alternative Payment Models (APMs) including  
  ◦ Streamlining of quality measurement from (e.g.) Meaningful Use and Value-based Modifier Program  
• Medicare Advantage Stars Rating Program |
| Promote workforce efficiency and support interdisciplinary team training | • Empower patients and increase awareness of the importance of advance care planning  
• Support portability of advance care planning documents across time and setting. | • Reauthorizations such as the Older Americans Act (OAA) that support public awareness  
• Medicare & You Handbook to engage Medicare beneficiaries  
• Legislative vehicles such as the Advance Planning & Compassionate Care Act that ensure validity of advance care planning  
• Legislative vehicles such as the Personalize Your Care Act that support continuous advance care planning discussions over time |
| Support care coordination and enhanced transitions | • Support the adoption of advanced illness care through already-existing federal programs | • Implement waivers through MSSP and Pioneer ACO programs for advanced illness care adoption  
• Promote the adoption and assessment of advanced illness care through patient-centered medical homes  
• Support the use of remote monitoring and telehealth through legislation  
• Pilot projects through CMMI  
• State waiver programs |
| Promote care management and system and social services integration | • Revise eligibility criteria for hospice | • Through legislation, expand the population eligibility for hospice and ease face-to-face and telehealth requirements |